
Comparison of Nerve Transfers and Nerve Grafting for Traumatic Upper Plexus Palsy: A Systematic Review and Analysis

Rohit Garg, Gregory A. Merrell, Howard J. Hillstrom and Scott W. Wolfe
J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93:819-829. doi:10.2106/JBJS.I.01602

This information is current as of May 26, 2011

Supporting data

<http://www.ejbjs.org/cgi/content/full/93/9/819/DC1>

Reprints and Permissions

Click here to [order reprints or request permission](#) to use material from this article, or locate the article citation on jbjs.org and click on the [Reprints and Permissions] link.

Publisher Information

The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery
20 Pickering Street, Needham, MA 02492-3157
www.jbjs.org

Comparison of Nerve Transfers and Nerve Grafting for Traumatic Upper Plexus Palsy: A Systematic Review and Analysis

By Rohit Garg, MBBS, Gregory A. Merrell, MD, Howard J. Hillstrom, PhD, and Scott W. Wolfe, MD

Investigation performed at the Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, and the Indiana Hand to Shoulder Center, Indianapolis, Indiana

Background: In treating patients with brachial plexus injury, there are no comparative data on the outcomes of nerve grafts or nerve transfers for isolated upper trunk or C5-C6-C7 root injuries. The purpose of our study was to compare, with systematic review, the outcomes for modern intraplexal nerve transfers for shoulder and elbow function with autogenous nerve grafting for upper brachial plexus traumatic injuries.

Methods: PubMed, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials were searched for studies in which patients had surgery for traumatic upper brachial plexus palsy within one year of injury and with a minimum follow-up of twelve months. Strength and shoulder and elbow motion were assessed as outcome measures. The Fisher exact test and Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare outcomes, with an alpha level of 0.05.

Results: Thirty-one studies met the inclusion criteria. Two hundred and forty-seven (83%) and 286 (96%) of 299 patients with nerve transfers achieved elbow flexion strength of grade M4 or greater and M3 or greater, respectively, compared with thirty-two (56%) and forty-seven (82%) of fifty-seven patients with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$). Forty (74%) of fifty-four patients with dual nerve transfers for shoulder function had shoulder abduction strength of grade M4 or greater compared with twenty (35%) of fifty-seven patients with nerve transfer to a single nerve and thirteen (46%) of twenty-eight patients with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$). The average shoulder abduction and external rotation was 122° (range, 45° to 170°) and 108° (range, 60° to 140°) after dual nerve transfers and 50° (range, 0° to 100°) and 45° (range, 0° to 140°) in patients with nerve transfers to a single nerve.

Conclusions: In patients with demonstrated complete traumatic upper brachial plexus injuries of C5-C6, the pooled international data strongly favors dual nerve transfer over traditional nerve grafting for restoration of improved shoulder and elbow function. These data may be helpful to surgeons considering intraoperative options, particularly in cases in which the native nerve root or trunk may appear less than optimal, or when long nerve grafts are contemplated.

Level of Evidence: Therapeutic Level IV. See Instructions to Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.

Traumatic brachial plexus palsy is a devastating injury that affects predominantly young healthy individuals^{1,2}. With the advent of high-energy motor sports and other recreational activities, the frequency of such injuries is increasing. Supraclavicular (root and/or trunk) stretch or contusion injuries occur in approximately 75% of the patients who have traumatic brachial plexus palsies². Approximately 55% of the supraclavicular injuries involve all five roots (C5-T1), resulting in a flail limb². Upper brachial plexus injuries, involving the C5-C6 and/or C7 roots, constitute approximately 45% of these injuries in adults². Traumatic C5-C6 brachial plexus in-

juries cause denervation of the biceps, brachialis, deltoid, and rotator cuff muscles. Additional partial or complete C7 root involvement causes variable loss involving the triceps and forearm musculature.

Functionally, restoration of elbow flexion is the highest priority in these patients, followed by restoration of glenohumeral abduction, shoulder stability, and external rotation at the shoulder. The treatment options include nerve grafting, in which one or more of the nonavulsed roots is attached distally to the trunk, cord, or peripheral nerve by means of an autogenous graft, or nerve transfer, in which branches from an

Disclosure: The authors did not receive any outside funding or grants in support of their research for or preparation of this work. Neither they nor a member of their immediate families received payments or other benefits or a commitment or agreement to provide such benefits from a commercial entity.

uninvolved nerve are microsurgically transferred to the distal peripheral nerves supplied by the injured roots. Many authors have described nerve transfer procedures for irreparable C5-C6 or C5-C6-C7 injuries with good results³⁻⁵. However, for proximal ruptures or upper trunk lesions in which some or all roots may be viable for nerve grafting, it is not clear whether it is beneficial to perform an autogenous nerve graft or to bypass the injured segment entirely and proceed with nerve transfers⁶. To evaluate the results of these procedures, we undertook a systematic review of the literature on brachial plexus reconstruction in isolated upper plexus traumatic injuries.

We hypothesized that the outcomes of modern nerve transfers for shoulder and elbow function in isolated upper trunk or C5-C6-C7 injury are improved compared with traditional nerve graft procedures.

Materials and Methods

We searched the literature on PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and EMBASE on April 10, 2010. The search strategy used the following terms: "brachial plexus" (MeSH term), "upper plexus," "C5, C6, C7," "wounds and injuries" (MeSH term), "nerve grafts," "neurotization," and "nerve transfers." We included all relevant English as well as non-English literature in the search. A cross-reference bibliography check was performed to ensure a complete list of potential studies. The search showed a total of 1461 articles. Of the 1461 articles on adult brachial plexus injury, 1058 abstracts were read and the remaining studies were excluded by reviewing the title. Eight hundred and ninety-four of the 1058 studies were excluded on the basis of the criteria listed below, leaving 164 full-text articles for the analysis. Patients with traumatic injury of the upper brachial plexus at the root (C5-C6-C7) or trunk level, who had reconstructive surgery with use of nerve grafts or nerve transfers within one year of injury, and who had a minimum follow-up of twelve months, were included.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients with perinatal brachial plexus injuries were excluded. Studies were excluded if the results for patients with isolated traumatic injury of the upper brachial plexus could not be independently assessed because of other injuries^{1,7-46}. Studies that did not describe muscle strength for individual muscles and joint motion were excluded^{11,21,27,41,47-55}. Studies that did not specifically describe the timing of surgical intervention or follow-up were excluded^{17,32,56-62}. For evaluation of elbow function, we included only those studies or patients who had so-called plexoplexal or intraplexal nerve transfers (ulnar, median, medial pectoral, or thoracodorsal) to the biceps and/or brachialis branches of the musculocutaneous nerve. Specifically, so-called extraplexal transfers with use of intercostal, phrenic, or spinal accessory nerves for restoration of elbow flexion were excluded. Other exclusion criteria were studies involving complete brachial plexus palsy, contralateral C7 transfer, review articles, isolated case studies, duplicate publications, and patients with both nerve transfer and nerve graft for the same muscle^{2,6,11,30,34,43,51,60,61,63-136}.

Outcome parameters included strength and shoulder and elbow range of motion. Strength was evaluated with use of the British Medical Research Council grading scheme¹³⁷. Strength measurement by the British Medical Research Council grading¹³⁸ and range-of-motion measurement^{139,140} have demonstrated good interrater reliability such that pooling of these outcomes from various studies provides meaningful conclusions. The following methods were used to assess the active elbow flexion, shoulder abduction, and shoulder external rotation in various studies:

1. Elbow flexion was measured as the angle formed between the long axis of the arm and forearm by a goniometer.
2. Shoulder abduction was measured as the angle between the arm axis and the thoracolumbar spine by a goniometer.

3. Shoulder external rotation was measured in degrees from the resting position, which was defined as 90° of elbow flexion with the forearm resting on the abdomen (an internally rotated position). These measurements were made by a goniometer.

One study¹⁴¹, which did not use the above methods for range-of-motion measurements, was excluded from range-of-motion analysis. Outcomes were treated as ordinal (strength) and scale (range-of-motion) data. The data were compared with use of the Fisher exact test (ordinal data) and an independent sample Mann-Whitney U test (scale data), with significance set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Source of Funding

There was no external source of funding.

Results

We found thirty-one studies that met the inclusion criteria in which modern so-called plexoplexal nerve transfers or nerve grafts for elbow function and single or dual nerve transfers or nerve grafts for shoulder function were described for traumatic upper brachial plexus palsy. The results of those studies are shown in Tables I, II, and III. It is important to note that all of the nerve grafts and 90% of the nerve transfers were performed within nine months of injury.

Elbow Function

Injury Patterns

A total of 299 patients had nerve transfers to the musculocutaneous nerve (see Appendix). Of those patients, 229 (77%) had C5-C6 lesions and seventy (23%) had C5-C6-C7 injuries. Root avulsions were found in 255 patients (85%), while forty-four patients (15%) had postganglionic injuries. Of the fifty-seven patients who underwent nerve grafts, fifty-one (89%) had C5-C6 lesions and six (11%) had C5-C6-C7 injuries. Forty-five (79%) had extraforaminal injuries of at least one of the roots, while twelve (21%) had root avulsions and received nerve grafts from the C3-C4 roots to the upper trunk.

Elbow Flexion Strength

Of the 299 patients who received nerve transfers, 247 (83%) achieved elbow flexion strength of M4 or greater compared with thirty-two (56%) of the fifty-seven patients with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$). Elbow strength of M3 or greater was attained in 286 (96%) of 299 patients with nerve transfers compared with forty-seven (82%) of the fifty-seven patients with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$). The comparison is summarized as a contingency table (see Appendix).

With regard to the outcomes of nerve transfers for patients with C5-C6 and C5-C6-C7 injuries, 201 (88%) of 229 patients with isolated C5-C6 injuries achieved strength of elbow flexion of M4 or greater compared with forty-six (66%) of seventy patients with C5-C6-C7 injuries ($p < 0.05$). This finding suggests that patients with upper brachial plexus injuries limited to C5-C6 have improved outcomes with nerve transfer for elbow flexion compared with those with C5-C6-C7 injuries.

The 229 patients with C5-C6 lesions who had a nerve transfer demonstrated improved elbow flexion strength (201 [88%] had strength of M4 or greater and 225 [98%] had

TABLE I Outcomes of Nerve Transfers and Nerve Grafting for Elbow Flexion

Study	Injury Pattern*	Donor Nerve	Recipient Nerve†	No. of Cases	Flexion Strength Grade (%)	
					M4 or Greater	M3 or Greater
Nerve transfers						
Leechavengvongs et al. ¹⁴³ (2006)	Avulsion of C5-C6	Ulnar	MC	15	87	100
Teboull et al. ³ (2004)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (9) or C5-C6-C7 (20)	Ulnar	MC	29	69	83
Sungpet et al. ¹⁵⁰ (2000)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (25) or C5-C6-C7 (11)	Ulnar	MC	36	83	94
Venkatramani et al. ¹⁵¹ (2008)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (13) or C5-C6-C7 (2)	Ulnar	MC	15	87	100
Nath et al. ¹⁵² (2006)	Avulsion of C5-C6	Median	MC	40	90	95
Livemeaux et al. ⁵ (2006)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (4) or C5-C6-C7 (2)	Median and ulnar	MC	6	100	100
Oberlin et al. ¹⁴² (1994)	Avulsion of C5-C6	Ulnar	MC	2	100	100
Leechavengvongs et al. ⁴ (1998)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (26) or C5-C6-C7 (4)	Ulnar	MC	30	93	96
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁵³ (2004)	Avulsion of C5-C6	Ulnar	MC	10	70	100
Mackinnon et al. ¹⁵⁴ (2005)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (2) or C5-C6-C7 (2)	Median and ulnar	MC	4	100	100
Merrell et al. ¹⁴⁶ (2001)	Avulsion of C6-C7	Medial pectoral	MC	1	0	100
Brandt and MacKinnon ⁶² (1993)	Avulsion of C5-C6	Medial pectoral	MC	2	100	100
Samardzic et al. ¹⁵⁵ (2002)	Avulsion of C5-C6-C7	Medial pectoral	MC	10	60	90
Ferraresi et al. ¹⁵⁶ (2004)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (28) or C5-C6-C7 (6)	Ulnar (30) and median (4)	MC	34	94	97
Sungpet et al. ¹⁵⁷ (2003)	Avulsion of C5-C6	Median	MC	5	80	100
Samardzic et al. ¹⁵⁸ (2005)	Avulsion of C5-C6	Thoracodorsal	MC	8	88	100
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁵⁹ (2004)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (8) or C5-C6-C7 (4)	Ulnar	MC	12	58	100
Bhandari et al. ¹⁶⁰ (2009)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (12) or C5-C6-C7 (2)	Ulnar (4), ulnar and median (10)	MC	14	50	93
Goubier and Teboul ¹⁶¹ (2007)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (3) or C5-C6-C7 (2)	Ulnar and median	MC	5	100	100
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁶² (2010)	Avulsion of C5-C6	Ulnar	MC	7	100	100
Kakinoki et al. ¹⁶³ (2010)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (7) or C5-C6-C7 (1)	Ulnar	MC	8	88	100
Loy et al. ¹⁶⁴ (1997)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (3) or C5-C6-C7 (3)	Ulnar	MC	6	67	100
Total				299	83	96
Nerve grafts						
Fogarty and Brennan ¹⁶⁵ (2002)	Rupture of C5-C6	C5, C6; C5; and C6	C5, C6, and lateral cord	5	60	60
Sedel ¹⁶⁶ (1982)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (2) or C5-C6-C7 (1)	C5 and C6	Upper trunk	3	67	100
Malessy et al. ¹⁶⁷ (1999)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (2) or C5-C6-C7 (2)	C5, C6; C5; and C6	Upper trunk and MC	4	50	100
Malessy et al. ¹⁴¹ (2004)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (3) or C5-C6-C7 (3)	C5, C6; C5; and C6	Upper trunk, lateral cord, and MC	6	17	83
Yamada et al. ¹⁶⁸ (2009)	Avulsion of C5-C6	C3 and C4	Upper trunk	6	100	100
Yamada et al. ¹⁶⁹ (1996)	Avulsion of C5-C6	C3 and C4	Upper trunk	6	50	83
Jivan et al. ¹⁷⁰ (2007)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6	C5 and C6	Upper trunk	27	55	77
Total				57	56	82

*The number of patients is given in parentheses. †Sural nerve graft used in nerve graft group. MC = musculocutaneous nerve.

TABLE II Shoulder Abduction Outcomes

Source	Injury Pattern*	Donor Nerve†	Recipient Nerve†	No. of Cases	Abduction Strength Grade (%)		Mean Range of Motion (Range) (deg)
					M4 or Greater	M3 or Greater	
Single nerve transfer							
Oberlin et al. ¹⁴² (1994)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN	SSN	1	0	100	NR
Venkatramani et al. ¹⁵¹ (2008)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (13) or C5-C6-C7 (2)	SAN	SSN	15	20	53	35 (0-90)
Samardzic et al. ¹⁵⁸ (2005)	Avulsion of C5-C6	TDN	Axillary	10	50	100	NR
Samardzic et al. ¹⁵⁵ (2002)	Avulsion of C5-C6 and sometimes C7	MP	Axillary	7	43	73	NR
Samardzic et al. ¹⁵⁵ (2002)	Avulsion of C5-C6 and sometimes C7	MP and ICN	Axillary	4	100	100	NR
Merrell et al. ¹⁴⁶ (2001)	Avulsion of C5-C6 or C5-C6-C7	SAN	SSN	2	100	100	NR
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁷¹ (2007)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6-C7	LTN and SAN (4), SAN (3)	SSN	7	NR	NR	83 (70-100)
Malessy et al. ¹⁴¹ (2004)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (4) or C5-C6-C7 (6)	SAN	SSN	10	20	30	NR
Bhandari et al. ¹⁶⁰ (2009)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (5) or C5-C6-C7 (3)	SAN	SSN	8	13	88	NR
Total				64	35‡	70‡	50 (0-100)
Dual nerve transfer							
Leechavengvongs et al. ¹⁴³ (2006)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN, RN	SSN, axillary	15	87	100	115 (45-160)
Leechavengvongs et al. ¹⁴⁴ (2003)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN, RN	SSN, axillary	7	100	100	124 (70-160)
Uerpaiojkit et al. ¹⁷² (2009)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN, RN	SSN, axillary	5	80	100	134 (70-160)
Merrell et al. ¹⁴⁶ (2001)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (2) or C5-C6-C7 (1)	SAN and MP (2) or ICN (1)	SSN, axillary	3	67	100	NR
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁷¹ (2007)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6	SAN, RN	SSN, axillary	7	NR	NR	122 (80-170)
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁵³ (2004)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN, RN	SSN, axillary	10	30	100	92 (65-120)
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁶² (2010)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN, RN	SSN, axillary	7	100	100	127 (85-169)
Bhandari et al. ¹⁶⁰ (2009)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6	SAN, RN	SSN, axillary	7	57	100	NR
Total				61	74§	100§	122 (45-170)
Nerve graft							
Yamada et al. ¹⁶⁹ (1996)	Avulsion of C5-C6	C3,C4	Upper trunk	6	50	67	NR
Yamada et al. ¹⁶⁸ (2009)	Avulsion of C5-C6	C3,C4	Upper trunk	6	100	100	NR
Malessy et al. ¹⁴¹ (2004)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (3) or C5-C6-C7 (4)	C5,C7; C6; C5	SSN; SSN, axillary	7	14	14	NR
Malessy et al. ¹⁶⁷ (1999)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (3) or C5-C6-C7 (3)	C5,C6; C5; C5,C5	Upper trunk; SSN, axillary	6	50	67	NR
Sedel ¹⁶⁶ (1982)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (2) or C5-C6-C7 (1)	C5,C6	Upper trunk	3	0	67	NR
Total				28	46	61	NR

*The number of patients is given in parentheses. †Sural nerve graft used in nerve graft group. SAN = spinal accessory nerve, SSN = suprascapular nerve, LTN = long thoracic nerve, MP = medial pectoral nerve, ICN = intercostal nerve, TDN = thoracodorsal nerve, RN = radial nerve (nerve to long or lateral head of triceps), and NR = not reported. ‡Percentage is based on fifty-seven patients with single-nerve transfer for whom data were available. §Percentage is based on fifty-four patients for whom data were available.

TABLE III Shoulder External Rotation Outcomes

Source	Injury Pattern*	Donor Nerve†	Recipient Nerve‡	No. of Cases	External Rotation Strength§ (%)		Mean Range of Motion (Range)§ (deg)
					M4 or Greater	M3 or Greater	
Single nerve transfer							
Venkatramani et al. ¹⁵¹ (2008)	Avulsion of C5-C6 (13) or C5-C6-C7 (2)	SAN	SSN	15	20	53	24 (0-95)
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁷¹ (2007)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6-C7	LTN and SAN (4), SAN (3)	SSN	7	NR	NR	94 (30-140)
Malessy et al. ¹⁴¹ (2004)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6-C7 (6) or C5-C6 (4)	SAN	SSN	10	10	30	NR
Total				32	16#	44#	45 (0-140)
Dual nerve transfer							
Leechavengvongs et al. ¹⁴³ (2006)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN and RN	SSN and axillary	15	60	86	97 (60-130)
Leechavengvongs et al. ¹⁴⁴ (2003)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN and RN	SSN and axillary	7	86	100	NR
Uerpairojkit et al. ¹⁷² (2009)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN and RN	SSN and axillary	5	NR	NR	124 (70-140)
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁷¹ (2007)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6	SAN and RN	SSN and axillary	7	NR	NR	118 (90-140)
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁵³ (2004)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN and RN	SSN and axillary	10	20	70	93 (80-120)
Bertelli and Ghizoni ¹⁶² (2010)	Avulsion of C5-C6	SAN and RN	SSN and axillary	7	71	100	121 (97-145)
Total				51	56††	87††	108 (70-140)
Nerve graft							
Yamada et al. ¹⁶⁹ (1996)	Avulsion of C5-C6	C3 and C4	Upper trunk	6	50	67	NR
Yamada et al. ¹⁶⁸ (2009)	Avulsion of C5-C6	C3, C4	Upper trunk	6	100	100	NR
Malessy et al. ¹⁴¹ (2004)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (3) or C5-C6-C7 (3)	C5, C7; C6; and C5	SSN; SSN and axillary	7	0	0	NR
Malessy et al. ¹⁶⁷ (1999)	Avulsion and/or rupture of C5-C6 (3) or C5-C6-C7 (3)	C5, C6; C5; C5, C5	Upper trunk; SSN and axillary	6	0	33	NR
Total				25	36	48	

*The number of patients is given in parentheses. †SAN = spinal accessory nerve, SSN = suprascapular nerve, LTN = long thoracic nerve, and RN = radial nerve (nerve to long or lateral head of triceps). ‡Sural nerve graft was used in the nerve graft group. §NR = not reported. #Percentage is based on twenty-five cases for which data were available. ††Percentage is based on thirty-nine cases for which data were available.

strength of M3 or greater) compared with the fifty-one patients who received a nerve graft (twenty-nine [57%] had strength of M4 or greater and forty-two [82%] had strength of M3 or greater) ($p < 0.05$). For the seventy patients with a C5-C6-C7 injury treated by nerve transfer, forty-six (66%) regained elbow flexion strength of M4 or greater and sixty-one (87%) regained strength of M3 or greater. In the nerve graft group for C5-C6-C7 injury, three of the six patients regained elbow flexion strength of M4 or greater and five of the six patients regained elbow flexion strength of M3 or greater. Statistical comparison was not performed for this small patient sample.

Among the patients who had postganglionic injuries, elbow flexion strength of M4 or greater was achieved by thirty-four (77%) of forty-four patients treated with nerve transfers compared with twenty-three (51%) of forty-five patients treated with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$).

On the basis of the number of nerves transferred, twenty-two (88%) of twenty-five patients with double (ulnar and median) nerve transfer to the biceps and brachialis motor branches achieved elbow flexion strength of M4 or greater compared with 225 (82%) of 274 patients with single (ulnar or median or medial pectoral or thoracodorsal) nerve transfer to the biceps ($p > 0.05$). The point estimate of the difference in proportions (6%) and the associated sample size (299) lacked sufficient power to state with certainty that there was not a significant difference.

Elbow range of motion was described in sufficient detail for only two of fifty-seven patients in the nerve graft cohort, so a meaningful statistical comparison could not be performed. Of note, the thirty-two patients in the nerve transfer group for whom range of motion was reported achieved a mean elbow flexion of 137° (range, 90° to 140°).

Shoulder Function

Injury Patterns

Of the sixty-four patients who underwent isolated nerve transfers to the suprascapular or the axillary nerve, thirty-four (53%) had C5-C6 or upper trunk injuries, nineteen (30%) had C5-C6-C7 lesions, and the remaining eleven (17%) were a mixed group with either C5-C6 or C5-C6-C7 injuries. Root avulsion was the injury pattern in fifty-one patients (80%), while thirteen (20%) had postganglionic injuries.

Of the sixty-one patients who had dual nerve transfers to the suprascapular and the axillary nerve (see Appendix), sixty (98%) had C5-C6 or upper trunk injuries. Root avulsions were present in forty-eight patients (79%), while thirteen (21%) had postganglionic injuries.

Of twenty-eight patients treated with nerve grafts to improve shoulder function, twenty (71%) had C5-C6 or upper trunk injuries and the remaining eight (29%) had C5-C6-C7 lesions (see Appendix). Postganglionic injuries were present in sixteen patients (57%), while the remaining twelve patients (43%) had root avulsions and received nerve grafts from the C3-C4 roots to the upper trunk.

Abduction Strength

Forty (74%) of the fifty-four patients who had dual nerve transfer had shoulder abduction strength of M4 or greater compared with thirteen (46%) of the twenty-eight patients with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$) and twenty (35%) of the fifty-seven patients with single nerve transfers ($p < 0.05$) (see Appendix). Shoulder abduction strength of M3 or greater (see Appendix) was seen in fifty-four (100%) of the fifty-four patients who had dual nerve transfer compared with seventeen (61%) of the twenty-eight patients treated with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$) and forty (70%) of the fifty-seven patients treated with single nerve transfers ($p < 0.05$). No difference in abduction strength was found between patients having nerve transfers to a single nerve and those with nerve grafts ($p > 0.05$ for both M4 and M3 strength). The point estimate of the difference in proportions (11%) and the associated sample size (eighty-five) lacked sufficient power to state with certainty that there was not a significant difference.

For patients with single nerve transfers, shoulder abduction strength significantly improved in the twenty-one patients who had medial pectoral or thoracodorsal nerve transfer to the axillary nerve (twelve [57%] had strength of M4 or greater and nineteen [90%] had M3 or greater) compared with the thirty-six patients treated with spinal accessory nerve transfer to the suprascapular nerve (eight [22%] had M4 or greater and twenty-one [58%] had M3 or greater) ($p < 0.05$). With respect to nerve transfers to the axillary nerve, nineteen (90%) of the twenty-one patients who had such a transfer achieved abduction strength of M3 or greater compared with seventeen (61%) of the twenty-eight patients with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$). No difference was found for the M4 level of abduction strength between these two groups. The point estimate of the difference in proportions (11%) and the associated sample size (forty-nine) lacked sufficient power to state with certainty that there was not a significant difference.

For patients with C5-C6-C7 injuries, shoulder abduction strength of M4 or greater was found in eleven (48%) of the twenty-three patients who had single nerve transfers and two of the eight patients treated with a nerve graft. Sample sizes were too small to perform a statistical comparison.

External Rotation

Twenty-two (56%) of the thirty-nine patients undergoing dual nerve transfer had external rotation strength of M4 or greater compared with nine (36%) of the twenty-five patients with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$) and four (16%) of the twenty-five patients with single nerve transfer ($p < 0.05$) (see Appendix). Similarly, external rotation strength of M3 or greater (see Appendix) was obtained for thirty-four (87%) of the thirty-nine patients with dual nerve transfers compared with twelve (48%) of the twenty-five patients treated with nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$) and eleven (44%) of the twenty-five patients treated with single nerve transfer ($p < 0.05$). No difference in external rotation strength was demonstrated between the patients having nerve transfer to a single nerve and those with nerve grafts ($p > 0.05$ for both M4 and M3). The point estimate of the difference in proportions (20%) and the associated sample size (fifty) lacked sufficient power to state with certainty that there was not a significant difference.

In patients with C5-C6-C7 injury, one of the eight patients treated with nerve transfer to a single nerve and none of the eight patients treated with a nerve graft achieved shoulder external rotation strength of M4 or greater. Statistical comparison was precluded by the small sample size.

Range of Motion (Abduction)

Thirty-four patients treated with nerve transfers to both axillary and suprascapular nerves had an average shoulder abduction of 122° (range, 45° to 170°) compared with 50° (range, 0° to 100°) for twenty-two patients who underwent nerve transfer to the suprascapular nerve alone ($p < 0.05$). There was a lack of sufficient range-of-motion data to compare outcomes for patients undergoing single nerve transfer to the axillary nerve.

Range of Motion (External Rotation)

Twenty-seven patients treated with transfers to both axillary and suprascapular nerves recovered an average external rotation of 108° (range, 60° to 140°). Twenty-two patients treated with single nerve transfers (to the suprascapular nerve) had an average external rotation of 45° (range, 0° to 140°), and this represented a significant reduction in motion ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

Transfer of a part of the ulnar nerve to the motor nerve of the biceps was, to our knowledge, first reported by Oberlin et al.¹⁴² Since then, many studies have evaluated the transfer of a part of the ulnar and/or median nerve to the motor branch of the biceps and/or brachialis muscles^{3-5,143}. No appreciable deficit has been reported in the ulnar or median nerve distribution after these transfers. In our analysis of patients with upper trunk or root level injuries, nerve transfers for elbow flexion

achieved a higher percentage of elbow flexion strength of M4 or greater than did nerve grafts ($p < 0.05$).

Transfer of the spinal accessory nerve to the suprascapular nerve is commonly performed to restore shoulder function in patients with brachial plexus injuries. Transfer of a part of the radial nerve (nerve to long head of triceps) to the motor branch of the axillary nerve was, to our knowledge, first described by Leechavengvongs et al.¹⁴⁴. For upper brachial plexus injuries, many surgeons now perform dual nerve transfers, in which the spinal accessory nerve is transferred to the suprascapular nerve and a part of the radial nerve is transferred to the axillary nerve. In our analysis of patients who had C5-C6 or upper trunk palsies, dual nerve transfers attained a higher shoulder abduction and external rotation strength compared with patients treated with autogenous nerve grafts or with patients who had single nerve transfers to either suprascapular or axillary nerves. Both shoulder abduction and external rotation were better in patients with dual nerve transfers than with transfers to a single (suprascapular) nerve ($p < 0.05$). The results demonstrate that patients with dual nerve transfers to the suprascapular and the axillary nerve have improved range of motion and strength compared with patients who have had single nerve transfers.

With the numbers available for patients with C5-C6-C7 injuries, the data are less conclusive. Without a normally functioning triceps, a powerful donor for axillary nerve transfer may not be available. There were insufficient numbers in this patient cohort to identify whether medial pectoral nerve transfer to the axillary nerve would produce better outcomes over a long nerve graft from C5. Because of the demonstrated superiority of dual reinnervation for shoulder function, it may be important that the surgeon explore the entire plexus to identify remaining viable roots for grafting or other potential donors for transfer to the axillary nerve in C5-C6-C7 injury.

Despite the encouraging results reported in the present study, recovery of external rotation of the shoulder continues to lag behind recovery of shoulder abduction. Whether the inclusion of the teres minor branch of the axillary nerve during distal nerve transfers or the use of the posterior approach for suprascapular nerve transfer¹⁰² can improve the relatively modest results of external rotation strength and motion cannot be supported with the available data.

As demonstrated by our data for biceps recovery and shoulder abduction, it cannot be stated with certainty why the results for modern techniques of nerve transfer to a denervated muscle are improved over the results for nerve grafting from the native cervical nerve root of the muscle, but it is likely that several factors are involved. Bentolila et al.¹⁴⁵ showed that nerve grafts that are >7.2 cm long are associated with worse results compared with short grafts; consequently, long nerve grafts to the musculocutaneous or axillary nerves may be subject to attenuation of nerve regeneration potential, especially if performed greater than six months after injury¹⁴⁵. Merrell et al.¹⁴⁶ demonstrated that use of interposed nerve grafts when nerve transfers were performed diminished outcomes compared with direct transfer to the denervated motor nerve. This suggests

that the additional suture junction, the devascularized autogenous graft itself, and/or the additional regeneration distance required were all factors in diminished percentages of successful regeneration. Also, upper brachial plexus traction injuries represent a spectrum of pathology from stretch to avulsion and are invariably associated with some degree of intraneural fibrosis at the level of the trunk or root; intraoperative determination of suitable candidates for grafting can be subjective, and an incorrect decision can potentially subject the patient to a long and unsuccessful recovery period. The use of intraoperative histologic analysis¹⁴⁷ is time-intensive and does not yield a precise determination of viable motor axons but rather a percentage of satisfactory preservation of fascicular architecture. Similarly, the use of somatosensory evoked potential stimulation^{50,148,149}, while useful to rule out root avulsion in questionable cases, is only predictive of intact sensory pathways.

The benefits of modern nerve transfers include the close proximity of the donor peripheral nerve to the denervated muscle end plates and the assurance of a healthy donor source of viable motor axons. These factors are especially pertinent in patients who present six months or more following injury, as the reduced reinnervation distance for nerve transfers may provide an additional advantage over a long nerve graft for functional recovery.

Given the nature of brachial plexus injury and the rapidly evolving methods of treatment, it is evident that few centers have sufficient numbers of patients to perform a single-center prospective comparison of surgical reconstruction. The best study design to compare nerve grafts and nerve transfers would be a prospective, multicenter randomized trial of large numbers of patients, and perhaps our retrospective data analysis may be a catalyst for this type of study. Retrospective data comparison or the performance of prospective multicenter trials depends on a unified method for the reporting of data. The greatest challenge of this systematic review was the need to exclude potentially valid outcome data for hundreds of postoperative patients because of a lack of objective outcome parameters for motion and strength. Comparisons of data from different centers will be optimized if joint-specific range-of-motion data and manual muscle strength grading is reported in all studies.

The findings of this systematic review demonstrate that for patients with complete upper trunk palsy, without clinical or electromyographic evidence of recovery at three to six months after the injury, the functional outcomes for restoration of elbow flexion and shoulder function will be improved by the use of nerve transfers rather than autogenous nerve grafts. These findings call into question the advisability of upper trunk exploration and testing in isolated, complete C5-C6 or upper trunk injuries. In these patients, the advantages of direct nerve transfer may include decreased operative time, the avoidance of potential operative morbidity of a supraclavicular plexus exploration, as well as the avoidance of a second incision, the oblique sensory loss, and the morbidity of harvesting a nerve graft. With the numbers available for patients with C5-C6-C7 injuries, the data are less conclusive and a plexus exploration for potential

nerve root donors is probably warranted to maximize restoration of shoulder function.

Appendix

eA Tables summarizing injury patterns and comparisons of elbow flexion strength, shoulder abduction strength, and shoulder external rotation strength across the groups are available with the online version of this article on our web site at jbsj.org. ■

NOTE: The authors thank Tim Roberts (librarian at the Hospital for Special Surgery) for his assistance in designing a search strategy to look for all of the relevant articles and Dr. Yan Ma (biostatistician at the Hospital for Special Surgery) for his advice related to the statistical analysis.

Rohit Garg, MBBS
Howard J. Hillstrom, PhD
Scott W. Wolfe, MD
Hospital for Special Surgery, 523 East 72nd Street, New York, NY 10021.
E-mail address for R. Garg: gargr@hss.edu.
E-mail address for H.J. Hillstrom: hillstromh@hss.edu.
E-mail address for S.W. Wolfe: wolfes@hss.edu

Gregory A. Merrell, MD
Indiana Hand to Shoulder Center, 8501 Harcourt Road,
Indianapolis, IN 46260. E-mail address: gregmerrell@gmail.com

References

- Terzis JK, Kostas I, Soucacos PN. Restoration of shoulder function with nerve transfers in traumatic brachial plexus palsy patients. *Microsurgery*. 2006;26:316-24.
- Kim DH, Murovic JA, Tiel RL, Kline DG. Mechanisms of injury in operative brachial plexus lesions. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2004;16:E2.
- Teboul F, Kakkar R, Ameer N, Beaulieu JY, Oberlin C. Transfer of fascicles from the ulnar nerve to the nerve to the biceps in the treatment of upper brachial plexus palsy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2004;86:1485-90.
- Leechavengvongs S, Witoonchart K, Uerpaiojkit C, Thuvasethakul P, Ketmalasiri W. Nerve transfer to biceps muscle using a part of the ulnar nerve in brachial plexus injury (upper arm type): a report of 32 cases. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1998;23:711-6.
- Liverneaux PA, Diaz LC, Beaulieu JY, Durand S, Oberlin C. Preliminary results of double nerve transfer to restore elbow flexion in upper type brachial plexus palsies. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2006;117:915-9.
- Shin AY, Spinner RJ, Steinmann SP, Bishop AT. Adult traumatic brachial plexus injuries. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2005;13:382-96.
- Cardenas-Mejia A, O'Boyle CP, Chen KT, Chuang DC. Evaluation of single-, double-, and triple-nerve transfers for shoulder abduction in 90 patients with supraclavicular brachial plexus injury. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2008;122:1470-8.
- Ahmed-Labib M, Golan JD, Jacques L. Functional outcome of brachial plexus reconstruction after trauma. *Neurosurgery*. 2007;61:1016-23.
- Guan SB, Hou CL, Chen DS, Gu YD. Restoration of shoulder abduction by transfer of the spinal accessory nerve to suprascapular nerve through dorsal approach: a clinical study. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2006;119:707-12.
- Terzis JK, Kostas I. Suprascapular nerve reconstruction in 118 cases of adult posttraumatic brachial plexus. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2006;117:613-29.
- Ricardo M. Surgical treatment of brachial plexus injuries in adults. *Int Orthop*. 2005;29:351-4.
- Samardzić M, Grujić D, Rasulić L, Milčić B. Restoration of upper arm function in traction injuries to the brachial plexus. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2002;144:327-35.
- El-Gammal TA, Fathi NA. Outcomes of surgical treatment of brachial plexus injuries using nerve grafting and nerve transfers. *J Reconstr Microsurg*. 2002;18:7-15.
- Samardzić M, Rasulić L, Grujić D, Milčić B. Results of nerve transfers to the musculocutaneous and axillary nerves. *Neurosurgery*. 2000;46:93-103.
- Samardzić MM, Rasulić LG, Grujić DM. Gunshot injuries to the brachial plexus. *J Trauma*. 1997;43:645-9.
- Chuang DC, Lee GW, Hashem F, Wei FC. Restoration of shoulder abduction by nerve transfer in avulsed brachial plexus injury: evaluation of 99 patients with various nerve transfers. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 1995;96:122-8.
- Simesen K, Haase J. Microsurgery in brachial plexus lesions. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1985;56:238-41.
- Narakas AO. The surgical treatment of traumatic brachial plexus lesions. *Int Surg*. 1980;65:521-7.
- Terzis JK, Barmptsioti A. Axillary nerve reconstruction in 176 posttraumatic plexopathy patients. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2010;125:233-47.
- Birch R. Brachial plexus injury: the London experience with supraclavicular traction lesions. *Neurosurg Clin N Am*. 2009;20:15-23, v.
- Krishnan KG, Martin KD, Schackert G. Traumatic lesions of the brachial plexus: an analysis of outcomes in primary brachial plexus reconstruction and secondary functional arm reanimation. *Neurosurgery*. 2008;62:873-86.
- Birch R. Injuries to the brachial plexus: controversies and possibilities. *Neurosurg Clin N Am*. 2001;12:285-94.
- Alnot JY. Traumatic brachial plexus palsy in the adult. Retro- and infraclavicular lesions. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1988;237:9-16.
- Narakas AO, Hentz VR. Neurotization in brachial plexus injuries. Indication and results. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1988;237:43-56.
- Narakas AO. The treatment of brachial plexus injuries. *Int Orthop*. 1985;9:29-36.
- Narakas A. Surgical treatment of traction injuries of the brachial plexus. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1978;133:71-90.
- Penkert G, Carvalho GA, Nikkiah G, Tagajiba M, Matthies C, Samii M. Diagnosis and surgery of brachial plexus injuries. *J Reconstr Microsurg*. 1999;15:3-8.
- Tonkin MA, Eckersley JR, Gschwind CR. The surgical treatment of brachial plexus injuries. *Aust N Z J Surg*. 1996;66:29-33.
- Chuang DC, Epstein MD, Yeh MC, Wei FC. Functional restoration of elbow flexion in brachial plexus injuries: results in 167 patients (excluding obstetric brachial plexus injury). *J Hand Surg Am*. 1993;18:285-91.
- Lanaras TI, Schaller HE, Sinis N. Brachial plexus lesions: 10 years of experience in a center for microsurgery in Germany. *Microsurgery*. 2009;29:87-94.
- Kandenwein JA, Kretschmer T, Engelhardt M, Richter HP, Antoniadis G. Surgical interventions for traumatic lesions of the brachial plexus: a retrospective study of 134 cases. *J Neurosurg*. 2005;103:614-21.
- Hou Z, Xu Z. Nerve transfer for treatment of brachial plexus injury: comparison study between the transfer of partial median and ulnar nerves and that of phrenic and spinal accessory nerves. *Chin J Traumatol*. 2002;5:263-6.
- Terzis JK, Vekris MD, Soucacos PN. Outcomes of brachial plexus reconstruction in 204 patients with devastating paralysis. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 1999;104:1221-40.
- Malessy MJ, Hoffmann CF, Thomeer RT. Initial report on the limited value of hypoglossal nerve transfer to treat brachial plexus root avulsions. *J Neurosurg*. 1999;91:601-4.
- Samii M, Carvalho GA, Nikkiah G, Penkert G. Surgical reconstruction of the musculocutaneous nerve in traumatic brachial plexus injuries. *J Neurosurg*. 1997;87:881-6.
- Ochiai N, Nagano A, Sugioka H, Hara T. Nerve grafting in brachial plexus injuries. Results of free grafts in 90 patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1996;78:754-8.
- Songcharoen P, Mahaisavariya B, Chotigavanich C. Spinal accessory neurotization for restoration of elbow flexion in avulsion injuries of the brachial plexus. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1996;21:387-90.
- Songcharoen P. Brachial plexus injury in Thailand: a report of 520 cases. *Microsurgery*. 1995;16:35-9.
- Mehta VS, Banerji AK, Tripathi RP. Surgical treatment of brachial plexus injuries. *Br J Neurosurg*. 1993;7:491-500.
- Kanaya F, Gonzalez M, Park CM, Kutz JE, Kleinert HE, Tsai TM. Improvement in motor function after brachial plexus surgery. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1990;15:30-6.
- Narakas A, Herzberg G. Neuro-neural intraplexal transfers in traumatic radicular avulsions of the brachial plexus. Report on fifteen cases. *Ann Chir Main*. 1985;4:211-8.
- Solonen KA, Vastamäki M, Ström B. Surgery of the brachial plexus. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1984;55:436-40.
- Narakas A. Neurotization or nerve transfer for brachial plexus lesions. *Ann Chir Main*. 1982;1:101-18.
- Allieu Y, Chammass M, Picot MC. [Paralysis of the brachial plexus caused by supraclavicular injuries in the adult. Long-term comparative results of nerve grafts and transfers]. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot*. 1997;83:51-9. French.
- Päzolt HJ. [Microsurgical treatment of brachial plexus injuries]. *Zentralbl Chir*. 1986;111:589-96. German.
- Trumpy JH. [Brachial plexus injuries. Surgical exploration and nerve transplantation]. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*. 1977;97:123-5. Norwegian.
- Suzuki K, Doi K, Hattori Y, Pagsaigan JM. Long-term results of spinal accessory nerve transfer to the suprascapular nerve in upper-type paralysis of brachial plexus injury. *J Reconstr Microsurg*. 2007;23:295-9.

48. Kim DH, Cho YJ, Tiel RL, Kline DG. Outcomes of surgery in 1019 brachial plexus lesions treated at Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center. *J Neurosurg.* 2003;98:1005-16.
49. Samardzic M, Grujicic D, Antunovic V. Nerve transfer in brachial plexus traction injuries. *J Neurosurg.* 1992;76:191-7.
50. Landi A, Copeland SA, Parry CB, Jones SJ. The role of somatosensory evoked potentials and nerve conduction studies in the surgical management of brachial plexus injuries. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1980;62:492-6.
51. Dubuisson AS, Kline DG. Brachial plexus injury: a survey of 100 consecutive cases from a single service. *Neurosurgery.* 2002;51:673-83.
52. Comtet JJ, Sedel L, Fredenucci JF, Herzberg G. Duchenne-Erb palsy. Experience with direct surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1988;237:17-23.
53. Haninec P, Sámal F, Tomás R, Houstava L, Dubový P. Direct repair (nerve grafting), neurotization, and end-to-side neurotization in the treatment of brachial plexus injury. *J Neurosurg.* 2007;106:391-9.
54. Alnot JY, Jolly A, Frot B. [Direct treatment of nerve lesions in brachial plexus injuries in adults—a series of 100 operated cases]. *Int Orthop.* 1981;5:151-68. French.
55. Alnot JY, Rostoucher P, Oberlin C, Touam C. [C5-C6 and C5-C6-C7 traumatic paralysis of the brachial plexus of the adult caused by supraclavicular lesions]. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot.* 1998;84:113-23. French.
56. Nagano A. Treatment of brachial plexus injury. *J Orthop Sci.* 1998;3:71-80.
57. Richardson PM. Recovery of biceps function after delayed repair for brachial plexus injury. *J Trauma.* 1997;42:791-2.
58. Kawai H, Kawabata H, Masada K, Ono K, Yamamoto K, Tsuyuguchi Y, Tada K. Nerve repairs for traumatic brachial plexus palsy with root avulsion. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1988;237:75-86.
59. Flores LP. Functional assessment of C-5 ventral rootlets by intraoperative electrical stimulation of the supraclavicular segment of the long thoracic nerve during brachial plexus surgery. *J Neurosurg.* 2008;108:533-40.
60. Nagano A, Ochiai N, Okinaga S. Restoration of elbow flexion in root lesions of brachial plexus injuries. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1992;17:815-21.
61. Sulaiman OA, Kim DD, Burkett C, Kline DG. Nerve transfer surgery for adult brachial plexus injury: a 10-year experience at Louisiana State University. *Neurosurgery.* 2009;65(4 Suppl):A55-62.
62. Brandt KE, Mackinnon SE. A technique for maximizing biceps recovery in brachial plexus reconstruction. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1993;18:726-33.
63. Ukrit A, Leechavengvongs S, Malungpaishrope K, Uerpairojkit C, Chongthammakun S, Witoonchart K. Nerve transfer for wrist extension using nerve to flexor digitorum superficialis in cervical 5, 6, and 7 root avulsions: anatomic study and report of two cases. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2009;34:1659-66.
64. Martins RS, Siqueira MG, Heise CO, Teixeira MJ. Transfer of a fascicle from the posterior cord to the suprascapular nerve after injury of the upper roots of the brachial plexus: technical case report. *Neurosurgery.* 2009;65:E823-5.
65. Bertelli JA, Ghizoni MF. Results of grafting the anterior and posterior divisions of the upper trunk in complete palsies of the brachial plexus. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2008;33:1529-40.
66. Terzis JK, Kokkalis ZT, Kostopoulos E. Contralateral C7 transfer in adult plexopathies. *Hand Clin.* 2008;24:389-400. vi.
67. Colbert SH, Mackinnon SE. Nerve transfers for brachial plexus reconstruction. *Hand Clin.* 2008;24:341-61. v.
68. Ranalli NJ, Kline DG, McGarvey ML, Boulis NM, Zager EL. Clinical problem-solving: brachial plexus closed injury and reconstruction. *Neurosurgery.* 2008;62:1330-9.
69. Stockinger T, Aszmann OC, Frey M. Clinical application of pectoral nerve transfers in the treatment of traumatic brachial plexus injuries. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2008;33:1100-7.
70. Malungpaishrope K, Leechavengvongs S, Uerpairojkit C, Witoonchart K, Jitprapaikulsam S, Chongthammakun S. Nerve transfer to deltoid muscle using the intercostal nerves through the posterior approach: an anatomic study and two case reports. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2007;32:218-24.
71. Xu W, Lu J, Xu J, Gu Y. Full-length ulnar nerve harvest by means of endoscopy for contralateral C7 nerve root transfer in the treatment of brachial plexus injuries. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2006;118:689-95.
72. Matejcek V, Penzesova G. Diagnosis and treatment of brachial plexus injuries. *Bratisl Lek Listy.* 2005;106:281-6.
73. Ishida O, Sunagawa T, Suzuki O, Ochi M. Modified Steindler procedure for the treatment of brachial plexus injuries. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2006;126:63-5.
74. Chuang DC. Nerve transfers in adult brachial plexus injuries: my methods. *Hand Clin.* 2005;21:71-82.
75. Belzberg AJ, Dorsi MJ, Storm PB, Moriarity JL. Surgical repair of brachial plexus injury: a multinational survey of experienced peripheral nerve surgeons. *J Neurosurg.* 2004;101:365-76.
76. Chen HJ, Lu K, Yeh MC. Combined dorsal root entry zone lesions and neural reconstruction for early rehabilitation of brachial plexus avulsion injury. *Acta Neurochir Suppl.* 2003;87:95-7.
77. Bertelli JA, Ghizoni MF. Brachial plexus avulsion injury repairs with nerve transfers and nerve grafts directly implanted into the spinal cord yield partial recovery of shoulder and elbow movements. *Neurosurgery.* 2003;52:1385-90.
78. Yu ZJ, Sui S, Yu S, Huang Y, Sheng J. Contralateral normal C7 nerve transfer after upper arm shortening for the treatment of total root avulsion of the brachial plexus: a preliminary report. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2003;111:1465-9.
79. Ferraresi S, Garozzo D, Ravenni R, Dainese R, De Grandis D, Buffatti P. Hemihypoglossal nerve transfer in brachial plexus repair: technique and results. *Neurosurgery.* 2002;50:332-5.
80. Hattori Y, Doi K, Toh S, Baliarsing AS. Surgical approach to the spinal accessory nerve for brachial plexus reconstruction. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2001;26:1073-6.
81. Gutowski KA, Orenstein HH. Restoration of elbow flexion after brachial plexus injury: the role of nerve and muscle transfers. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2000;106:1348-59.
82. Allieu Y. Evolution of our indications for neurotization. Our concept of functional restoration of the upper limb after brachial plexus injuries. *Chir Main.* 1999;18:165-6.
83. Leffert RD. Nerve lesions about the shoulder. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2000;31:331-45.
84. Nath RK, Mackinnon SE. Nerve transfers in the upper extremity. *Hand Clin.* 2000;16:131-9. ix.
85. Bertelli JA, Ghizoni MF. Selective motor hyperreinnervation by using contralateral C-7 motor rootlets in the reconstruction of an avulsion injury of the brachial plexus. Case report. *J Neurosurg.* 1999;90:1133-6.
86. Chuang DC, Cheng SL, Wei FC, Wu CL, Ho YS. Clinical evaluation of C7 spinal nerve transection: 21 patients with at least 2 years' follow-up. *Br J Plast Surg.* 1998;51:285-90.
87. Trumble TE, Kahn U, Vanderhooft E, Bach AW. A technique to quantitate motor recovery following nerve grafting. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1995;20:367-72.
88. Thomeer RT, Malessy MJ. Surgical repair of brachial plexus injury. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 1993;95 Suppl:S65-72.
89. Friedman AH. Neurotization of elements of the brachial plexus. *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* 1991;2:165-74.
90. Samardzic M, Grujicic D, Antunovic V, Joksimovic M. Reinnervation of avulsed brachial plexus using the spinal accessory nerve. *Surg Neurol.* 1990;33:7-11.
91. Kline DG. Civilian gunshot wounds to the brachial plexus. *J Neurosurg.* 1989;70:166-74.
92. Samardzic M, Antunovic V, Joksimovic M, Bacetic D. Donor nerves in the reinnervation of brachial plexus. *Neurol Res.* 1986;8:117-22.
93. Kline DG, Judice DJ. Operative management of selected brachial plexus lesions. *J Neurosurg.* 1983;58:631-49.
94. Matsuda H, Shimazu A, Nakajima K, Yoshimura M, Hirose T, Hashimoto T, Yamano Y, Arita S. Diagnosis and operative treatment for the brachial plexus injury. *Nippon Seikeigeka Gakkai Zasshi.* 1981;55:223-35.
95. Rorabeck CH, Harris WR. Factors affecting the prognosis of brachial plexus injuries. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1981;63:404-7.
96. Parry CB. The Ruscoe Clarke Memorial Lecture, 1979. The management of traction lesions of the brachial plexus and peripheral nerve injuries in the upper limb: a study in teamwork. *Injury.* 1980;11:265-85.
97. Omer GE Jr. Injuries to nerves of the upper extremity. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1974;56:1615-24.
98. Campbell JB, Lusskin R. Upper extremity paralysis consequent to brachial plexus injury. Partial alleviation through neurolysis or autograft reconstruction. *Surg Clin North Am.* 1972;52:1235-45.
99. Dong Z, Zhang CG, Gu YD. Surgical outcome of phrenic nerve transfer to the anterior division of the upper trunk in treating brachial plexus avulsion. *J Neurosurg.* 2010;112:383-5.
100. Durand S, Oberlin C, Fox M, Diverrez JP, Dauge MC. Transfer of the first intercostal nerve to supra- and infraspinatus muscles: an anatomical study and report of the first case. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol.* 2009;34:196-200.
101. Xu L, Gu Y, Xu J, Lin S, Chen L, Lu J. Contralateral C7 transfer via the prespinal and retropharyngeal route to repair brachial plexus root avulsion: a preliminary report. *Neurosurgery.* 2008;63:553-9.
102. Colbert SH, Mackinnon S. Posterior approach for double nerve transfer for restoration of shoulder function in upper brachial plexus palsy. *Hand (N Y).* 2006;1:71-7.
103. Rohde RS, Wolfe SW. Nerve transfers for adult traumatic brachial plexus palsy (brachial plexus nerve transfer). *HSS J.* 2007;3:77-82.
104. Hsu SP, Shih YH, Huang MC, Chuang TY, Huang WC, Wu HM, Lin PH, Lee LS, Cheng H. Repair of multiple cervical root avulsion with sural nerve graft. *Injury.* 2004;35:896-907.
105. Doi K, Hattori Y, Ikeda K, Dhawan V. Significance of shoulder function in the reconstruction of prehension with double free-muscle transfer after complete paralysis of the brachial plexus. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2003;112:1596-603.
106. Gu YD, Cai PQ, Xu F, Peng F, Chen L. Clinical application of ipsilateral C7 nerve root transfer for treatment of C5 and C6 avulsion of brachial plexus. *Microsurgery.* 2003;23:105-8.

- 107.** McGuinness CN, Kay SP. The prespinal route in contralateral C7 nerve root transfer for brachial plexus avulsion injuries. *J Hand Surg Br.* 2002;27:159-60.
- 108.** Samardzic M, Joksimovic M, Antunovic V, Grujicic D. Regional donor nerves in the reinnervation of brachial plexus palsy due to upper spinal roots avulsion. *Neuro Res.* 1989;11:181-5.
- 109.** Birch R, Dunkerton M, Bonney G, Jamieson AM. Experience with the free vascularized ulnar nerve graft in repair of supraclavicular lesions of the brachial plexus. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1988;237:96-104.
- 110.** Millesi H. The current state of peripheral nerve surgery in the upper limb. *Ann Chir Main.* 1984;3:18-34.
- 111.** Gu YD, Zhang GM, Chen DS, Yan JG, Cheng XM, Chen L. Seventh cervical nerve root transfer from the contralateral healthy side for treatment of brachial plexus root avulsion. *J Hand Surg Br.* 1992;17:518-21.
- 112.** Doi K, Tamaru K, Sakai K, Kuwata N, Kurafuji Y, Kawai S. A comparison of vascularized and conventional sural nerve grafts. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1992;17:670-6.
- 113.** Gu YD, Zhang GM, Chen DS, Cheng XM, Zhang LY, Yan JG, Cai PQ, Shen LY. Cervical nerve root transfer from contralateral normal side for treatment of brachial plexus root avulsions. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 1991;104:208-11.
- 114.** Yamada S, Peterson GW, Soloniuk DS, Will AD. Coaptation of the anterior rami of C-3 and C-4 to the upper trunk of the brachial plexus for cervical nerve root avulsion. *J Neurosurg.* 1991;74:171-7.
- 115.** Stewart MP, Birch R. Penetrating missile injuries of the brachial plexus. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2001;83:517-24.
- 116.** Sungpet A, Suphachitwong C, Kawinwonggowit V. Restoration of shoulder abduction in brachial plexus injury with phrenic nerve transfer. *Aust N Z J Surg.* 2000;70:783-5.
- 117.** Franciosi LF, Modestti C, Mueller SF. Neurotization of the biceps muscle by end-to-side neurotaphy between ulnar and musculocutaneous nerves. A series of five cases. *Chir Main.* 1998;17:362-7.
- 118.** Sungpet A, Suphachitwong C, Kawinwonggowit V. Sensory abnormalities after the seventh cervical nerve root transfer. *Microsurgery.* 1999;19:287-8.
- 119.** Rutowski R. Neurotizations by means of the cervical plexus in over 100 patients with from one to five root avulsions of the brachial plexus. *Microsurgery.* 1993;14:285-8.
- 120.** Chuang DC. Adult brachial plexus reconstruction with the level of injury: review and personal experience. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2009;124(6 Suppl):e359-69.
- 121.** Terzis JK, Kokkalis ZT. Selective contralateral C7 transfer in posttraumatic brachial plexus injuries: a report of 56 cases. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2009;123:927-38.
- 122.** Waikakul S, Wongtragul S, Vanadurongwan V. Restoration of elbow flexion in brachial plexus avulsion injury: comparing spinal accessory nerve transfer with intercostal nerve transfer. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1999;24:571-7.
- 123.** Tung TH, Novak CB, Mackinnon SE. Nerve transfers to the biceps and brachialis branches to improve elbow flexion strength after brachial plexus injuries. *J Neurosurg.* 2003;98:313-8.
- 124.** Gu Y, Xu J, Chen L, Wang H, Hu S. Long term outcome of contralateral C7 transfer: a report of 32 cases. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2002;115:866-8.
- 125.** Gu YD, Chen DS, Zhang GM, Cheng XM, Xu JG, Zhang LY, Cai PQ, Chen L. Long-term functional results of contralateral C7 transfer. *J Reconstr Microsurg.* 1998;14:57-9.
- 126.** Millesi H. Brachial plexus injuries. Nerve grafting. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1988;237:36-42.
- 127.** Gu YD, Wu MM, Zheng YL, Zhang GM, Yan JG, Cheng XM, Chen DS. Microsurgical treatment for root avulsion of the brachial plexus. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 1987;100:519-22.
- 128.** Allieuv Y, Privat JM, Bonnel F. Paralysis in root avulsion of the brachial plexus. Neurotization by the spinal accessory nerve. *Clin Plast Surg.* 1984;11:133-6.
- 129.** Stevens JC, Davis DH, MacCarty CS. A 32-year experience with the surgical treatment of selected brachial plexus lesions with emphasis on its reconstruction. *Surg Neurol.* 1983;19:334-45.
- 130.** Lusskin R, Campbell JB, Thompson WA. Post-traumatic lesions of the brachial plexus: treatment by transclavicular exploration and neurolysis or autograft reconstruction. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1973;55:1159-76.
- 131.** Kawai H, Akita S. Shoulder muscle reconstruction in the upper type of the brachial plexus injury by partial radial nerve transfer to the axillary nerve. *Tech Hand Up Extrem Surg.* 2004;8:51-5.
- 132.** Reill P, Bindl G, Ross D. [Nerve transplantations in the upper extremity with autologous grafts]. *Chirurg.* 1982;53:229-34. German.
- 133.** Lu J, Xu J, Xu W, Xu L, Gu S, Shen Y, Zhao X, Gu Y. [Short-term efficacy of multiple nerves branch transfer for treating superior trunk brachial plexus injury]. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi.* 2008;22:1040-3. Chinese.
- 134.** Anderl H, Ganner H, Nowak H. [Microsurgical transplantation as a reconstructive measure following brachial plexus lesions]. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* 1973;85:539-44. German.
- 135.** Pei L, Liang B, Yin Y. [Treatment of nerve root avulsion of brachial plexus by nerve transfer]. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi.* 1997;11:30-1. Chinese.
- 136.** Berger A, Hierner R, Becker MH. [Secondary replacement operations for reconstruction of elbow joint function after lesion of the brachial plexus]. *Orthopade.* 1997;26:643-50. German.
- 137.** Seddon HJ, editor. *Peripheral nerve injuries.* Medical Research Council Special Report Series No. 282. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office; 1954.
- 138.** Fan E, Ciesla ND, Truong AD, Bhoopathi V, Zeger SL, Needham DM. Inter-rater reliability of manual muscle strength testing in ICU survivors and simulated patients. *Intensive Care Med.* 2010;36:1038-43.
- 139.** Hayes K, Walton JR, Szomor ZR, Murrell GA. Reliability of five methods for assessing shoulder range of motion. *Aust J Physiother.* 2001;47:289-94.
- 140.** Rothstein JM, Miller PJ, Roettger RF. Goniometric reliability in a clinical setting. Elbow and knee measurements. *Phys Ther.* 1983;63:1611-5.
- 141.** Malesy MJ, de Ruyter GC, de Boer KS, Thomeer RT. Evaluation of supra-scapular nerve neurotization after nerve graft or transfer in the treatment of brachial plexus traction lesions. *J Neurosurg.* 2004;101:377-89.
- 142.** Oberlin C, Béal D, Leechavengvongs S, Salon A, Dauge MC, Sarcy JJ. Nerve transfer to biceps muscle using a part of ulnar nerve for C5-C6 avulsion of the brachial plexus: anatomical study and report of four cases. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1994;19:232-7.
- 143.** Leechavengvongs S, Witoonchart K, Uerpaiojkit C, Thuvasethakul P, Malungpaishrope K. Combined nerve transfers for C5 and C6 brachial plexus avulsion injury. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2006;31:183-9.
- 144.** Leechavengvongs S, Witoonchart K, Uerpaiojkit C, Thuvasethakul P. Nerve transfer to deltoid muscle using the nerve to the long head of the triceps, part II: a report of 7 cases. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2003;28:633-8.
- 145.** Bentolila V, Nizard R, Bizot P, Sedel L. Complete traumatic brachial plexus palsy. Treatment and outcome after repair. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1999;81:20-8.
- 146.** Merrell GA, Barrie KA, Katz DL, Wolfe SW. Results of nerve transfer techniques for restoration of shoulder and elbow function in the context of a meta-analysis of the English literature. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2001;26:303-14.
- 147.** Comtet JJ, Herzberg G, Artale AM, Kopp N. Intraoperative histopathologic nerve examination in brachial plexus injury. *J Reconstr Microsurg.* 1993;9:111-9.
- 148.** Burkholder LM, Houlden DA, Midha R, Weiss E, Vennettilli M. Neurogenic motor evoked potentials: role in brachial plexus surgery. Case report. *J Neurosurg.* 2003;98:607-10.
- 149.** Sugioka H. Evoked potentials in the investigation of traumatic lesions of the peripheral nerve and the brachial plexus. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1984;184:85-92.
- 150.** Sungpet A, Suphachitwong C, Kawinwonggowit V, Patradul A. Transfer of a single fascicle from the ulnar nerve to the biceps muscle after avulsions of upper roots of the brachial plexus. *J Hand Surg Br.* 2000;25:325-8.
- 151.** Venkatramani H, Bhardwaj P, Faruquee SR, Sabapathy SR. Functional outcome of nerve transfer for restoration of shoulder and elbow function in upper brachial plexus injury. *J Brachial Plex Peripher Nerve Inj.* 2008;3:15.
- 152.** Nath RK, Lyons AB, Bietz G. Physiological and clinical advantages of median nerve fascicle transfer to the musculocutaneous nerve following brachial plexus root avulsion injury. *J Neurosurg.* 2006;105:830-4.
- 153.** Bertelli JA, Ghizoni MF. Reconstruction of C5 and C6 brachial plexus avulsion injury by multiple nerve transfers: spinal accessory to suprascapular, ulnar fascicles to biceps branch, and triceps long or lateral head branch to axillary nerve. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2004;29:131-9.
- 154.** Mackinnon SE, Novak CB, Myckatyn TM, Tung TH. Results of reinnervation of the biceps and brachialis muscles with a double fascicular transfer for elbow flexion. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2005;30:978-85.
- 155.** Samardzic M, Grujicic D, Rasulic L, Bacetic D. Transfer of the medial pectoral nerve: myth or reality? *Neurosurgery.* 2002;50:1277-82.
- 156.** Ferraresi S, Garozzo D, Buffatti P. Reinnervation of the biceps in C5-7 brachial plexus avulsion injuries: results after distal bypass surgery. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2004;16:E6.
- 157.** Sungpet A, Suphachitwong C, Kawinwonggowit V. One-fascicle median nerve transfer to biceps muscle in C5 and C6 root avulsions of brachial plexus injury. *Microsurgery.* 2003;23:10-3.
- 158.** Samardzic MM, Grujicic DM, Rasulic LG, Milicic BR. The use of thoracodorsal nerve transfer in restoration of irreparable C5 and C6 spinal nerve lesions. *Br J Plast Surg.* 2005;58:541-6.
- 159.** Bertelli JA, Ghizoni MF. Contralateral motor rootlets and ipsilateral nerve transfers in brachial plexus reconstruction. *J Neurosurg.* 2004;101:770-8.
- 160.** Bhandari PS, Sadhotra LP, Bhargava P, Bath AS, Mukherjee MK, Bhatti T, Maura S. Surgical outcomes following nerve transfers in upper brachial plexus injuries. *Indian J Plast Surg.* 2009;42:150-60.
- 161.** Goubier JN, Teboul F. Technique of the double nerve transfer to recover elbow flexion in C5, C6, or C5 to C7 brachial plexus palsy. *Tech Hand Up Extrem Surg.* 2007;11:15-7.
- 162.** Bertelli JA, Ghizoni MF. Nerve root grafting and distal nerve transfers for C5-C6 brachial plexus injuries. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2010;35:769-75.

- 163.** Kakinoki R, Ikeguchi R, Dunkan SF, Nakayama K, Matsumoto T, Ohta S, Nakamura T. Comparison between partial ulnar and intercostal nerve transfers for reconstructing elbow flexion in patients with upper brachial plexus injuries. *J Brachial Plex Peripher Nerve Inj.* 2010;5:4.
- 164.** Loy S, Bhatia A, Asfazadourian H, Oberlin C. [Ulnar nerve fascicle transfer onto to the biceps muscle nerve in C5-C6 or C5-C6-C7 avulsions of the brachial plexus. Eighteen cases]. *Ann Chir Main Memb Super.* 1997;16:275-84. French.
- 165.** Fogarty BJ, Brennen MD. Upper root brachial plexus trauma; patient selection and reconstruction. *Injury.* 2002;33:57-62.
- 166.** Sedel L. The results of surgical repair of brachial plexus injuries. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1982;64:54-66.
- 167.** Malessy MJ, van Duinen SG, Feirabend HK, Thomeer RT. Correlation between histopathological findings in C-5 and C-6 nerve stumps and motor recovery following nerve grafting for repair of brachial plexus injury. *J Neurosurg.* 1999;91:636-44.
- 168.** Yamada S, Lonser RR, Colohan AR, Yamada SM, Won DJ. Bypass coaptation for cervical root avulsion: indications for optimal outcome. *Neurosurgery.* 2009;65(4 Suppl):A203-11.
- 169.** Yamada S, Lonser RR, Iacono RP, Morenski JD, Bailey L. Bypass coaptation procedures for cervical nerve root avulsion. *Neurosurgery.* 1996;38:1145-52.
- 170.** Jivan S, Kumar N, Wiberg M, Kay S. The influence of pre-surgical delay on functional outcome after reconstruction of brachial plexus injuries. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2009;62:472-9.
- 171.** Bertelli JA, Ghizoni MF. Transfer of the accessory nerve to the suprascapular nerve in brachial plexus reconstruction. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2007;32:989-98.
- 172.** Uerpaiojkit C, Leechavengvongs S, Witoonchart K, Malungpaishorpe K, Raksakulkiat R. Nerve transfer to serratus anterior muscle using the thoracodorsal nerve for winged scapula in C5 and C6 brachial plexus root avulsions. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2009;34:74-8.